



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,495	04/02/2001	Bo Shen	10006086-1	1999
75	90 04/18/2006		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A	
P.O. Box 27240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, Co	O 80527-2400		2157	

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/825,495	SHEN, BO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hussein A. El-chanti	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<b>1</b> .
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	31 March 2006.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und			<b>;</b>
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and sub	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar orrection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	<b>i</b> ).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	8) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·	

Art Unit: 2157

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is RCE received on March 31, 2006. Claims 1-25 are pending examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Duursma et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,643, 690 (referred to hereafter as Duursma).

As to claim 1, Duursma teaches a network configured to dynamically and intelligently route requests for services provided by service provider servers, comprising:

a computing device utilizing an Internet service provider (ISP) to communicate over the network (see col. 8 lines 24-32),

an association of at least two application service provider servers coupled with said network (see col. 8 lines 24-56 and fig. 3B, server is connected to a plurality of application servers), said association comprising;

a first application service provider description including a first type of application service provided by said first application service provider (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 10, a first application server providing app#2); and

a second application service provider description including a second type of application service provided by said first application service provider, wherein said first type of application service is different than said second type of application service (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 10, a second application server providing app#3); and

an ingress server configured to receive incoming requests for application services from the computing device over an established network connection (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 10, server receives client requests);

a service routing server utilizing a predetermined application criteria, to intelligently select one of said at least two application service provider servers based on said application request received from said computing device, and intelligently routing the computing device application service request over the network to the selected application service provider server to perform the requested application service (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52, an application server is determined); and

an application service provider server register configured to maintain current application service provider server information for at least one of said at least two application service provider servers providing said application services (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52, the server maintains a database with the applications and the servers that host each application).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 2, Duursma teaches a network according to Claim 1 further comprising a qualifying device configured to intelligently qualify an application service provider server according to predetermined criteria, wherein the application service provider server may become associated with the network (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52).

As to claim 3, Duursma teaches a network according to Claim 2 wherein the qualifying device is configured to qualify an application service provider server based on application service quality criteria (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52).

As to claim 4, Duursma teaches a network according to Claim 2 wherein the qualifying device is configured to qualify an application service provider server based on application service routing criteria, and wherein the routing device includes routing code for enabling a processor to route client requests to an application service provider server by executing the routing code (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52).

As to claim 5, Duursma teaches a network according to Claim 2 wherein the qualifying device is configured to qualify an application service provider server based on the type of service offered by the application service provider server (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52).

As to claim 6, Duursma teaches a network according to Claim 1, wherein the network includes a plurality of routing devices and a router table propagator configured to intelligently propagate updates of routing tables that may exist in each of the plurality of routing devices (see col. 11 lines 13-62).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 7, Duursma teaches a network according to Claim 1, wherein the ingress server includes a routing device configured with routing code to route client requests to an application service provider server and an application service provider server register configured to maintain current service provider server information (see col. 11 lines 13-62).

As to claim 8, Duursma teaches a network according to Claim 1 further comprising a plurality of application service provider servers that are affiliated with the ingress server, wherein the ingress server, is configured to route client requests to one or more of the application service provider servers according to predetermined criteria (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52).

As to claim 9, Duursma teaches a network according to Claim 1, wherein the application service provider server register includes a routing table containing property information pertaining to an application service provider server (see col. 11 lines 13-62).

As to claim 10, Duursma teaches a network according to Claim 1, wherein the application service provider server register includes a routing table containing property information pertaining to an application service provider server including operation status information and type of application service information (see col. 11 lines 13-62).

As to claim 11, Duursma teaches a network according to Claim 9, wherein the routing table includes a look-up table containing property information pertaining to an application service provider server that can be looked up by the routing device (see col. 11 lines 13-62).

As to claim 12, Duursma teaches an ingress server configured to route a client

Art Unit: 2157

request to an application server, comprising:

a router configured with routing code to route client requests over an established network connection to an application service provider server, said service routing server utilizing a predetermined application criteria to intelligently select one of said at least two application service provider servers based on said application request received from said computing device, and intelligently routing the computing device application service request over the network to the selected application service provider server to perform the requested application service (see col. 8 lines 24-col. 9 lines 10 and fig. 3B, server is connected to a plurality of application servers);

a parameter reviewer for reviewing and qualifying the adequacy of an outside server's parameters to qualify the adequacy of the submitted parameters (see col. 11 lines 13-62);

an application service provider server register configured to maintain current application service provider server information, said register based on the qualifying of said outside server's parameters, said application service provider server register comprising:

a first application service provider description including a first type of application service provided by said first application service provider (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 10, a second application server providing app#3); and

a second application service provider description including a second type of application service provided by said first application service provider, wherein said first type of application service is different than said second type of application service (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 10, a second application server providing app#3); and

Art Unit: 2157

a monitoring thread for monitoring the outside application servers performance of the service and return of the results to the client (see col. 12 lines 47-col. 13 lines 26).

As to claim 13, Duursma teaches an ingress server according to Claim 12 further comprising a qualifying device configured to intelligently qualify an application service provider server according to predetermined criteria, wherein the application service provider may become associated with a service routing network (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52).

As to claim 14, Duursma teaches an ingress server according to Claim 13 wherein the qualifying device is configured to qualify an application service provider server based on service quality criteria (see see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52).

As to claim 15, Duursma teaches an ingress server according to Claim 13 wherein the routing device includes routing code for enabling a processor to route client requests to an application service provider server upon execution, and wherein the qualifying device is configured to qualify an application service provider server based on service routing criteria (see col. 11 lines 13-62).

As to claim 16, Duursma teaches an ingress server according to Claim 13 wherein the qualifying device is configured to qualify an application service provider server based on the type of service offered by the application service provider server (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52).

As to claim 17, Duursma teaches an ingress server according to Claim 12, wherein the network includes a plurality of routing devices and a router table propagator

Art Unit: 2157

configured to intelligently propagate updates of routing tables that may exist in each of the plurality of routing devices (see col. 12 lines 48-col. 13 lines 26).

As to claim 18, Duursma teaches an ingress server according to Claim 12, wherein the application service provider server register includes a routing table containing property information pertaining to an application service provider server (see col. 11 lines 13-62).

As to claim 19, Duursma teaches an ingress server according to Claim 12, wherein the application service provider server register includes a routing table containing property information pertaining to a application service provider server including operation status information and type of application service information (see see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52).

As to claim 20, Duursma teaches an ingress server according to Claim 12, wherein the routing table includes a look-up table containing property information pertaining to an application service provider server that can be looked up by the routing device (see col. 11 lines 13-62).

As to claim 21, Duursma teaches an ingress server according to claim 12, further comprising a subscription module configured to route a client request to an application service provider server according to subscription criteria (see col. 11 lines 13-62).

As to claim 22, Duursma teaches a method for routing a client request to a pre-qualified application service provider server, wherein such routing is performed by a routing server having an application service provider register, comprising: receiving a client request for an application service to be performed by an application server service

Art Unit: 2157

provider over an established network connection; analyzing the client request to determine the type of application service that is requested (see col. 8 lines 24-col. 9 lines 62 and fig. 3B, server is connected to a plurality of application servers);

developing a register for said application service provider, said register qualifying said application servers based on the parameters of the services provided by the application service providers said register for said application service provider (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52, the server maintains a database with the applications and the servers that host each application) comprising:

a first application service provider description including a first type of application service provided by said first application service provider (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 10, a first application server providing app#2); and

a second application service provider description including a second type of application service provided by said first application service provider, wherein said first type of application service is different than said second type of application service (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 10, a second application server providing app#3); and

checking the application service provider register for a pre-qualified application service provider server that is capable of performing the requested application service (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52, an application server is determined);

providing a graphical user interface for providing a choice to a user among a number of said application service providers that offer a service that is responsive to said client request (see col. 11 lines 13-col. 12 lines 62); and

Art Unit: 2157

utilizing a service routing server to route the request to said application service provider, wherein said service routing server utilizes a predetermined application criteria to intelligently select one of said application service provider servers based on said application request received from said computing device, and intelligently routing the computing device application service request over the network to the selected application service provider server to perform the requested application service (see col. 12 lines 13-col. 13 lines 62).

As to claim 23, Duursma teaches a method according to Claim 22, further comprising the step of choosing an application service provider server from a number of application service provider servers that have been qualified by the routing server for particular application services (see col. 8 lines 34-col. 9 lines 52).

As to claim 24, Duursma teaches a method according to Claim 23, wherein choosing a service provider server from a number of application, service provider servers is performed by the routing server according to predetermined subscription criteria (see col. 11 lines 13-62).

As to claim 25, Duursma teaches a method according to Claim 22, further including intelligently propagating router table updates to application service routing servers (see col. 11 lines 13-62).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues in substance that, A) Duursma does not disclose the applications service perform video editing, content edition and enhancement; B) Duursma does not disclose utilizing a predetermined application criteria to select the application provider

Serial No.: 09/825,495

Art Unit: 2157

Art Unit: 2157

based on the application request received; C) Duursma does not disclose a parameter reviewer for reviewing and qualifying a server according to the parameters.

In response to A) Applicant is arguing Duursma does not disclose the applications service perform video editing, content edition and enhancement. These limitation are not found in the claims. Claimed subject matter not the specification is the measure of the invention. Disclosure contained in the specification cannot be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding prior art. In re Sporck, 55 CCPA 743, 386 F .2d 924, 155 USPQ 687 (1986); In re Self, 213 USPQ 1, 5 (CCPA 1982); In re Priest, 199 USPQ 11, 15 (CCPA 1978).

In response to B) Duursma teaches a system and method for requesting by a user an application resident on a server using a graphical user interface (see abstract). Duursma also teaches the user sends a request to a master server to use an application. The master server receives the request and determines a server that hosts the application and selects a server to service the request according to user credentials and according to server parameters such as load balancing parameters (see col. 8 lines 24-col. 9 lines 18). The claim language does not specify how the request is being used to select an application provider and therefore the user request identifying the requested application and the user credentials meet the scope of the claimed limitation "select the application provider based on the application request received".

In response to C) Duursma teaches the master server receives a request from the user to launch an application. The master server determines the load on the servers and the application availability on each server. The master server then selects a server

Art Unit: 2157

to service the request according to the result of the determination (see col. 8 lines 58-65). There is no limitation in the claim what parameters are being evaluated to determine a server to service the request and therefore the the load on the servers and the application availability on each server taught by Duursma meets the scope of the claimed limitation "a parameter reviewer for reviewing and qualifying a server according to the parameters".

4. This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/825,495. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2157

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A. El-chanti whose telephone number is (571)272-3999. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hussein El-chanti

April 11, 2006

Gijustafa W. Meky Primary Examiner